

Stephanie Kost

From: Dawn Rosser [coupmom@cox-internet.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:00 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Dawn Rosser
110 Wheat Circle
Scott, LA 70583

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Dawn Rosser
337-232-8984

Stephanie Kost

From: Dawn Kramer [www.twinsm0ther@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 1:20 AM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Dawn Kramer
parent
807 32nd ST SW
Wyoming, MI 49509

October 18, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Dawn Kramer
616-534-3241
parent

Stephanie Kost

From: Dawn Kramer [www.twinsm0ther@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 1:20 AM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Dawn Kramer
parent
807 32nd ST SW
Wyoming, MI 49509

October 18, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Dawn Kramer
616-534-3241
parent

Stephanie Kost

From: Dawn Kramer [www.twinsm0ther@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 1:20 AM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Dawn Kramer
parent
807 32nd ST SW
Wyoming, MI 49509

October 18, 2004

Michael K Powell

,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, *this move will not* only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Dawn Kramer
616-534-3241
parent

Stephanie Kost

From: Dawn Kramer [www.twinsmother@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 1:20 AM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Dawn Kramer
parent
807 32nd ST SW
Wyoming, MI 49509

October 18, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Dawn Kramer
616-534-3241
parent

Stephanie Kost

From: Deanna Dickerman [deanna.l.dickerman@citigroup.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:47 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Deanna Dickerman
Compliance Supervisor
3416 Regal Avenue
Mesquite, TX 75149

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Deanna Dickerman
972-288-3727
Compliance Supervisor

Stephanie Kost

From: Deanna Dickerman [deanna.l.dickerman@citigroup.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:47 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Deanna Dickerman
Compliance Supervisor
3416 Regal Avenue
Mesquite, TX 75149

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Deanna Dickerman
972-288-3727
Compliance Supervisor

Stephanie Kost

From: Deanna Dickerman [deanna.l.dickerman@citigroup.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:47 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Deanna Dickerman
Compliance Supervisor
3416 Regal Avenue
Mesquite, TX 75149

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Deanna Dickerman
972-288-3727
Compliance Supervisor

Stephanie Kost

From: Deanne Nirider [dnirider@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:55 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Deanne Nirider
14403 Silver Lace Lane
Houston, TX 77070

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Deanne Nirider
281-744-1054

Stephanie Kost

From: Deanne Nirider [dnirider@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:55 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Deanne Nirider
14403 Silver Lace Lane
Houston, TX 77070

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Deanne Nirider
281-744-1054

Stephanie Kost

From: Deanne Nirider [dnirider@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:55 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Deanne Nirider
14403 Silver Lace Lane
Houston, TX 77070

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Deanne Nirider
281-744-1054

Stephanie Kost

From: Deanne Nirider [dnirider@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:55 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Deanne Nirider
14403 Silver Lace Lane
Houston, TX 77070

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Deanne Nirider
281-744-1054

Stephanie Kost

From: Debbie Messick [d.messick25@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 11:08 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Debbie Messick
360 West 400 North
Springville, Utah 84663

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Debbie Messick

Stephanie Kost

From: Debbie Messick [d.messick25@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 11:08 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Debbie Messick
360 West 400 North
Springville, Utah 84663

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Debbie Messick

Stephanie Kost

From: Debbie Messick [d.messick25@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 11:08 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Debbie Messick
360 West 400 North
Springville, Utah 84663

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Debbie Messick

Stephanie Kost

From: Debbie Hensley [joshuatree_31558@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 7:27 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Debbie Hensley
2105 James Slaughter Road
Fuquay-Varina, NC 27526

October 13, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Debbie Hensley, Concered Citizen for Christ
919 557-3125

Stephanie Kost

From: Cheryle Pritchett [cpritchett@calhouninsurance.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:44 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Cheryle Pritchett
President
Calhoun Insurance
8 N. Main Street
Farmington, MO 63640

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryle Pritchett
573-756-3789
President
Calhoun Insurance

Stephanie Kost

From: Cheryle Pritchett [cpritchett@calhouninsurance.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 5:44 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Cheryle Pritchett
President
Calhoun Insurance
8 N. Main Street
Farmington, MO 63640

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cheryle Pritchett
573-756-3789
President
Calhoun Insurance

Stephanie Kost

From: Chris Mandelka [chris.mandelka@raymondjames.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 6:29 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Chris Mandelka
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.
3107 Van Alstyne Street
Wyandotte , MI 48192

October 15, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chris Mandelka
586-246-6754
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.

Stephanie Kost

From: Chris Mandelka [chris.mandelka@raymondjames.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 6:29 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Chris Mandelka
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.
3107 Van Alstyne Street
Wyandotte , MI 48192

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chris Mandelka
586-246-6754
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.

Stephanie Kost

From: Chris Mandelka [chris.mandelka@raymondjames.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 6:29 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Chris Mandelka
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.
3107 Van Alstyne Street
Wyandotte , MI 48192

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps
,

Dear Michael Copps;

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chris Mandelka
586-246-6754
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.

Stephanie Kost

From: Chris Mandelka [chris.mandelka@raymondjames.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 6:29 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Chris Mandelka
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.
3107 Van Alstyne Street
Wyandotte, MI 48192

October 15, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chris Mandelka
586-246-6754
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.

Stephanie Kost

From: Chris Mandelka [chris.mandelka@raymondjames.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2004 6:29 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Chris Mandelka
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.
3107 Van Alstyne Street
Wyandotte , MI 48192

October 15, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chris Mandelka
586-246-6754
IT - Manager
Raymond James & Assoc.

Stephanie Kost

From: Christina Tartaglia [ckaralis@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 1:51 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Christina Tartaglia
179 Mosley road
Rochester , NY 14616

October 18, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Christina Tartaglia
(585) 663-1365

Stephanie Kost

From: Christina Tartaglia [ckaralis@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 1:50 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Christina Tartaglia
179 Mosley road
Rochester , NY 14616

October 18, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Christina Tartaglia
(585) 663-1365

Stephanie Kost

From: Christina Tartaglia [ckaralis@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 1:50 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Christina Tartaglia
179 Mosley road
Rochester , NY 14616

October 18, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Christina Tartaglia
(585) 663-1365

Stephanie Kost

From: Christina Tartaglia [ckaralis@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 1:50 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Christina Tartaglia
179 Mosley road
Rochester , NY 14616

October 18, 2004

Kevin J Martin
,

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Christina Tartaglia
(585) 663-1365

Stephanie Kost

From: Chrystal Johnson [Jaxjagz05@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 4:08 AM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Chrystal Johnson
4684 Geiger Road
Milton, Florida 32583

October 18, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chrystal Johnson
8506267992

Stephanie Kost

From: Chrystal Johnson [Jaxjagz05@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 4:08 AM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Chrystal Johnson
4684 Geiger Road
Milton, Florida 32583

October 18, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chrystal Johnson
8506267992

Stephanie Kost

From: Chrystal Johnson [Jaxjagz05@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 4:08 AM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Chrystal Johnson
4684 Geiger Road
Milton, Florida 32583

October 18, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chrystal Johnson
8506267992